



I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: The Assistant Commissioner for Patent, Washington, D. C. 20231 on August 13, 2002.

Dorcas Leidenheimer

Name

Dorcas M. Leidenheimer

Signature

EC
91307
#6
Response

COPY OF PAPERS
ORIGINALLY FILED

Case 8362

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of :: Confirmation No. 4769
Ronn, et al ::
Serial No. 09/735,258 :: Group Art Unit: 3625
Filed: December 12, 2000 :: Examiner: Jeanette E. Chapman
For: Array of Disposable Absorbent Article
Configurations and Merchandise Display
System for Identifying Disposable
Absorbent Article Configurations for
Wearers

RECEIVED

SEP 10 2002

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION DATED May 13, 2002

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

RECEIVED

AUG 22 2002

GROUP 3600

Dear Sir:

In response to the referenced Office Action, Applicants hereby respectfully requests further examination and reconsideration of the above-identified application in view of the following remarks.

REMARKS

This application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Office Action dated May 13, 2002. Claims 1-36 are currently pending in the case. Claims 9-36 have been withdrawn from consideration and the Examiner has rejected claims 1-8.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC §103

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-8 under 35 USC §103 as being unpatentable over Pampers Phases, Sept 1991 (PP) in view of Tampax multipax. According to MPEP §2143.01, obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so